- MOTHERWELL, W. D. S. & CLEGG, W. (1978). *PLUTO*. Program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- RIZZOLI, C., SANGERMANO, V., CALESTANI, G. & ANDREETTI, G. D. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 436-439.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1906-1908

- Syntex (1973). XTL Structure Determination System. Syntex Analytical Instruments, Cupertino, California, USA.
- TSCHIERSKE, C., JOACHIMI, D., VORBRODT, H.-M., ZASCHKE, H., WIEGELEBEN, A., HAUSER, A. & DEMUS, D. (1989). Liq. Cryst. 5, 177–190.
- TSCHIERSKE, C., KLEINPETER, E., KÖHLER, H. & ZASCHKE, H. (1990). In preparation.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 159-166.
- ZEFIROV, N. S. & KASIMIRCHIK, V. (1974). Usp. Khim. 43, 252–265.

## Structure of exo,endo,endo-9,9,10,11,12-Pentabromotricyclo[6.2.2.0<sup>2,7</sup>]dodeca-2(7),3,5-triene

## BY TUNCER HÖKELEK\*

Department of Physics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

## AND OSMAN ÇAKMAK AND METIN BALCI

Department of Chemistry, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

(Received 7 September 1989; accepted 3 January 1990)

Abstract.  $C_{12}H_9Br_5$ ,  $M_r = 552.723$ , triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 10.441 (1), b = 12.406 (1), c = 6.887 (1) Å,  $\alpha = 90.82$  (1),  $\beta = 124.01$  (1),  $\gamma = 102.73$  (1)°, V = 710.8 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_m = 2.56$ ,  $D_x = 2.582$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda(Cu K\alpha) = 1.54180$  Å,  $\mu = 171.38$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 512, T = 293 K, R = 0.034 for 2045 observed reflections. X-ray analysis of the title compound shows that the skeleton of the molecule is not changed after two successive bromination reactions. The addition of the second Br<sub>2</sub> molecule occurs contrary to our expectation in a *syn* fashion. This is probably due to interactions with neighbouring Br atoms.

Introduction. Benzobarrelene (1,4-dihydro-1,4ethenonaphthalene) is an ideal compound for di- $\pi$ -methane rearrangement (Hixon, Mariano & Zimmerman, 1973). In particular, the introduction of a substituent in a vinyl location increases the number of possible initial bonding modes. Therefore, one may obtain more insight into the mechanism of the di- $\pi$ -methane rearrangement and the effect of substituents. Recently, an efficient synthetic route leading to monosubstituted benzobarrelene derivatives has been developed (Balcı, Çakmak & Harmandar, 1985). As an extension of this work, an alternative large-scale preparation of disubstituted benzobarrelene derivatives has been undertaken (Çakmak & Balci, 1989). We were also interested in the synthesis of trisubstituted benzobarrelenes (Cakmak, Hökelek & Balci, 1990).

0108-2701/90/101906-03\$03.00

For this purpose we carried out the bromination of (1) refluxing in  $CCl_4$  and isolated four bromination products. One of these, isomer (2), was subjected to further bromination and only one isomer was obtained. In order to gain more knowledge of the reaction mechanism and the structure of the product, we carried out an X-ray structure analysis of isomer (3).



**Experimental.** The compound was dissolved in boiling chloroform and *n*-hexane added. The clear solution was cooled slowly at room temperature. In about 6 h, the colourless rod-shaped crystals (m.p. 453 K) were obtained.

Initially, the cell had the parameters a = 6.887, b = 8.718, c = 12.406 Å and  $\alpha = 74.03$ ,  $\beta = 89.18$ ,  $\gamma = 83.10^{\circ}$  with the matrix elements 001, 101, 010. But we re-refined the standard cell with the matrix

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Cl

C2

C3 C4

C5

C6

C7 C8 C9

C10

C11

C12

Brl Br<sub>2</sub>

Br3

Br4

Br5

## Table 1. Experimental data and structure-refinement parameters

Method of measuring $D_m$ Crystal shape and size (mm) Diffractometer used and data-collection technique	Pycnometer (H <sub>2</sub> O) Rod-shaped, 0.05 × 0.09 × 0.2 Four-circle diffractometer (Enraf-Nonius CAD-4), $\omega$ -2 $\theta$ scan
Number and $\theta$ range of reflections used for measuring lattice parameters	25 reflections with $12 \le 2\theta \le 32^{\circ}$
Absorption correction applied	Semi-empirical (North, Phillips
(with max. and min. values)	Mathews, 1968); 2.78 and 2.
Maximum value of $2\theta$ (°) and $(\sin\theta/\lambda)$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> ) reached in intensity measurements	72 and 0.617
Range of $h$ , $k$ and $l$	$-1 \le h \le 12, -15 \le k \le 15, -8 \le l \le 8$
Standard reflections and their	212, 111, 040; variation
intensity variations throughout experiment	2, 2, 0%, respectively
Number of reflections measured	3378
Number of unique reflections	2797
Number of unobserved reflections	752
Criterion for recognizing unobserved reflections	$I \leq 3\sigma(I)$
Method used to solve structure	Direct methods
Use of F or F <sup>2</sup> magnitudes in least-squares refinement	F
Parameters refined	(Coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors of non- atoms) 154
Values of R. wR and R.	0.034, 0.042 and $0.000$
S (goodness of fit)	4.28
Method used to calculate w	Chebyshev
Final residual electron density $(e Å^{-3})$ for max, and min, peaks	+4.25 and $-4.25$
Max. $(\Delta/\sigma)$	0.00
Source of atomic scattering factors	International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974)

Computer programs used

 $12 \le 2\theta \le 32^\circ$ mi-empirical (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968); 2.78 and 2.36 and 0.617  $1 \le h \le 12, -15 \le k \le 15,$  $-8 \le l \le 8$ 2, 111, 040; variation 2, 0%, respectively 78 197 2 ≤ 3*σ(1*) irect methods Coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors of non-H atoms) 154 034, 0.042 and 0.000 28 hebyshev 4.25 and -4.25 00 ternational Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974) SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986),

CRYSTALS (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1985), SNOOPI (Davies, 1982)

elements 110, 001, 100 and obtained the cell parameters reported here.

The method employed to solve the structure and other related parameters and procedures are given in Table 1. Non-H atoms were included with anisotropic thermal parameters. Difference syntheses did not show clearly the electron density for H atoms. Therefore, H atoms were geometrically positioned 1.0 Å from C atoms with coordinates and isotropic temperature-factor coefficients ( $U = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2$ ) kept fixed in the refinement process.

Discussion. The final coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 2.\* The structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. It contains the expected benzobicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton. The bond lengths and

Table 2. Atomic coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$  and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $Å^2 \times 10^4$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

x	v	Z	Um
3406 (6)	7345 (4)	1660 (9)	327
1778 (6)	7631 (4)	491 (9)	327
3967 (6)	7497 (4)	-6(9)	334
2559 (6)	7615 (4)	- 2494 (9)	308
496 (6)	6690 (5)	-1712 (10)	344
1074 (6)	6619 (4)	- 3335 (9)	325
2226 (6)	8686 (4)	-2122(9)	306
2321 (6)	9625 (5)	- 3181 (10)	378
1888 (6)	8714 (4)	- 435 (9)	308
1648 (7)	9678 (5)	205 (11)	384
2064 (7)	10570 (5)	-2542 (12)	411
1745 (7)	10605 (5)	- 863 (12)	438
4996-2 (7)	8417.7 (5)	4638 (1)	426
- 1598-2 (7)	6992·2 (6)	-3154 (1)	453
- 534.9 (7)	6525-8 (6)	-6753 (1)	446
3221.1 (8)	5872.2 (5)	2616 (1)	445
4896-1 (9)	6358-1 (6)	-294(1)	505



Fig. 1. A SNOOPI (Davies, 1982) drawing of the title molecule with the atom-numbering scheme. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

angles, given in Table 3, agree with those observed in C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Br<sub>3</sub> (Hökelek, Çakmak & Balcı, 1990). There is an approximately tetrahedral environment about the C1 atom, but the Br1—C1—Br4 angle  $[105.4 (2)^{\circ}]$  is smaller and the Br4–C1–C3 angle  $[116\cdot 2(4)^{\circ}]$  is larger than the conventional value of the tetrahedral angle. The C1—C3—Br5 angle  $[116\cdot 3(3)^{\circ}]$  is also larger than the other angles about C3. This behaviour appears to be a result of a repulsive interaction between Br4 and Br5. Similarly, the Br2---C5---C6 [116·6 (3)°] and Br3-C6-C5  $[116.3 (3)^{\circ}]$  angles are larger than the other angles about C5 and C6 respectively, probably because of the repulsion between Br2 and Br3 atoms. The sums of the angles about C7 and C9 are 359.8 (5) and  $360.0(5)^{\circ}$  respectively, so the atoms attached to them lie in a plane with the corresponding values of the bond angles given in Table 3. The residual electron

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52921 (15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

 Table 3. Bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) with

 e.s.d.'s in parentheses

C1—C2 C1—C3	1·552 (7) 1·551 (8)	C5—C6 C5—Br2	1·548 (8) 1·963 (5)
Cl—Brl	1.968 (5)	C6Br3	1.965 (5)
C2C5	1.564 (8)	C7—C9	1.393 (8)
C2—C9	1.505 (7)	C8-C11	1.376 (9)
C3C4	1.550 (7)	C9-C10	1.388 (8)
C3—Br5	1.947 (5)	C10-C12	1.390 (8)
C4—C6	1·549 (7)	C11-C12	1.37 (1)
C4—C7	1·498 (7)		
	100 4 (4)	$\mathbf{D}_{-1}$ $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ $\mathbf{C}_{2}$	107.7 (4)
$C_3 - C_1 - C_2$	109.4 (4)	Br1C1C2	10/-/ (4)
Bri-Ci-C3	108.3 (4)	Br4	109-3 (4)
Br4-C1-C3	116-2 (4)	Br4—Cl—Br1	105.4 (2)
C5-C2-C1	106.7 (4)	C9C2C1	108-8 (4)
C9C2C5	107-1 (4)	C4C3C1	109.4 (4)
Br5-C3-C1	116-3 (3)	Br5-C3-C4	110.4 (4)
C6-C4-C3	108.2 (4)	C7—C4—C3	105.4 (4)
C7—C4—C6	109.4 (4)	C6-C5-C2	108.7 (4)
Br2C5C2	107.7 (4)	Br2C5C6	116.6 (3)
C5-C6-C4	110.5 (4)	Br3-C6-C4	108.9 (3)
Br3-C6-C5	116-3 (3)	C8C7C4	126.3 (5)
C9-C7-C4	113.3 (4)	C9C7C8	120.2 (5)
C11-C8-C7	119.0 (6)	C7—C9—C2	114.1 (5)
C10-C9-C2	125.9 (5)	C10-C9-C7	120.0 (5)
C12-C10-C9	119.2 (6)	C12-C11-C8	121.0 (5)
C11-C12-C10	120.6 (6)		

density is high, probably due to the repulsions between Br atoms in the structure.

The X-ray structure analysis of the title compound has revealed that bromine addition has occurred in (3) contrary to our expectation in a syn fashion. Studies concerning the mechanism of syn addition show that the syn adduct can arise either from direct syn collapse of the ion pair or from rotation followed by anti-collapse (Heasley, Bower, Dougharty & Easdon, 1980). Because of the rigid skeleton in (2) a bond rotation is out of the question. Another possible mechanism for syn addition is the effect of the neighbouring Br atom at C3 which can attack the preliminary formed cyclic bromonium ion from the underside. The Br ion attacks the cyclic bromonium ion from the syn face of the molecule to give the synadduct (3).

The authors are grateful to the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TÜBÍTAK) for financial support, and to Dr C. K. Prout, Dr D. J. Watkin and Dr A. K. Cheetham of the Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England, for provision of laboratory and computer facilities.

#### References

- BALCI, M., ÇAKMAK, O. & HARMANDAR, M. (1985). Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 5469-5472.
- ÇAKMAK, O. & BALCI, M. (1989). J. Org. Chem. 54, 181-187.
- ÇAKMAK, O., HÖKELEK, T. & BALCI, M. (1990). In preparation.
- DAVIES, E. K. (1982). SNOOPI. Program for drawing crystal and molecular diagrams. Univ. of Oxford, England.
- HEASLEY, G. E., BOWER, T. R., DOUGHARTY, K. W. & EASDON, J. C. (1980). J. Org. Chem. 45, 5150–5155.
- HIXON, S. S., MARIANO, P. S. & ZIMMERMAN, H. E. (1973). Chem. Rev. 73, 531–555.
- HÖKELEK, T., ÇAKMAK, O. & BALCI, M. (1990). In preparation.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- WATKIN, D. J., CARRUTHERS, J. R. & BETTERIDGE, D. W. (1985). CRYSTALS. Program for crystal structure solution. Univ. of Oxford, England.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1908–1911

# Structure of 5,19-Diamino-9,12,15-trioxatricyclo[14.4.0.0<sup>3,8</sup>]icosa-1(16),3,5,7,17,19hexaene

## BY TUNCER HÖKELEK\*

Department of Physics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

### AND ZEYNEL KILIÇ

Department of Chemistry, Ankara University, Tandoğan, Ankara, Turkey

(Received 27 July 1989; accepted 29 January 1990)

**Abstract.**  $C_{17}H_{20}N_2O_3$ ,  $M_r = 300.356$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 14.443 (2), b = 13.883 (2), c = 7.455 (3) Å,

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

0108-2701/90/101908-04\$03.00

 $\beta = 94.08 \ (2)^{\circ}$ ,  $V = 1491.0 \ (2) Å^3$ , Z = 4,  $D_m = 1.3$ ,  $D_x = 1.338 \ \text{g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Cu } K\alpha) = 1.54180 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 7.119 \ \text{cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 640,  $T = 293 \ \text{K}$ ,  $R = 0.038 \ \text{for}$ 2384 observed reflections. The title compound adopts

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography